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This section in context of the course

- **Continuous operation**
  - Sedimentation
  - Centrifuges, cyclones
  - Membranes (except periodically backflushed to regenerate)
  - Liquid-liquid extraction

- **Batch/cycled operation**
  - filtration (e.g. plate and frame)
  - adsorption units
  - drying units (next)

**Our goals**

- understand what adsorbers look like and how they are operated
- how to find the equilibrium isotherms for a new system
- preliminary sizing of an adsorption unit
Introduction to sorption processes

Sorption

Components in a fluid phase, solutes, are selectively transferred to insoluble, (rigid) particles that are suspended in a vessel or packed in a column.

- (ad)sorbate: the (ad)sorbed solute that’s usually of interest
- (ad)sorbent: the (ad)sorbing agent, i.e. the MSA
- Is there an ESA?

Some sorption processes:

- absorption: gas into liquid phase [it is strictly speaking a sorption process, but not considered here (3M4)]
- adsorption: molecules bond with a solid surface
- ion-exchange: ions displace dissimilar ions from solid phase
  - Water softening: \( \text{Ca}^{2+}_{(aq)} + 2\text{NaR}_2(s) \rightleftharpoons \text{CaR}_2(s) + 2\text{Na}^+_{(aq)} \)
- chromatography: solutes move through column with an eluting fluid. Column is continuously regenerated.
Sorption examples

We will focus on (ad)sorption for the next few classes.

Some well-known examples:

▶ adsorption: charred wood products to improve water taste
▶ adsorption: decolourize liquid with bone char
▶ adsorption: those little white packets in boxes of electronics
▶ ion-exchange: passing water through certain sand deposits removes salt
▶ ion-exchange: synthetic polymer resins widely used to soften water

Industrial use of adsorption picked up with synthetic manufacturing of zeolites in the 1960s.
Adsorption examples

- **Gas purification:**
  - Volatile organics from a vent stream
  - Sulphur compounds from gas stream
  - Water vapour
  - Removal of CO$_2$ from natural gas [alternatives ?]

- **Bulk separation** in the gas phase:
  - O$_2$ from N$_2$ (adsorbed more strongly onto zeolites)
  - H$_2$O from ethanol
  - High acetone quantities from air vent streams

- **Liquid-liquid separation and purification:**
  - Organics and toxic compounds from water
  - Sulphur compounds from water
  - Normal vs iso-paraffin separation
  - Separation of isomers: $p$- vs $m$-cresol
  - Fructose from dextrose separation
  - Gold in cyanide solutions

[Cresol figures from Wikipedia]
Adsorbents

General principle (more details coming up soon)

Molecules attach to the particle’s surface: outside and on the pore walls

Main characterization: pore diameter of adsorbent

Mechanisms during adsorption

- equilibrium interaction: solid-fluid interactions
- kinetic: differences in diffusion rates
- steric: pore structure hinders/retains molecules of a certain shape

[Modified from: Seader, 3ed, p 569]
Quick recap of some familiar concepts

- $1\text{m} = 100\text{cm} = 1000\text{mm} = 10^6\mu\text{m} = 10^9\text{nm} = 10^{10}\text{Å}$

- Hydrogen and helium atoms: $\approx 1\text{Å}$

- For a pore:

\[
\frac{\text{Internal surface area}}{\text{Pore volume}} = \frac{\pi d_p L}{\pi d_p^2 L/4} = \frac{4}{d_p}
\]

- $d_p = \text{pore diameter}: \text{typically around 10 to 200 Å}$

Our main concern is solid’s adsorption area per unit mass:

- solids are about 30 to 85% porous

- typical values: 300 to 1200 m$^2$ per gram

- area of hockey field $= 91.4 \times 55 \text{ m} = 5027 \text{ m}^2$
Adsorbents

Helpful to see what they look like to understand the principles:

Activated alumina

▶ made from aluminum hydroxide
▶ \(\sim 300 \text{ m}^2\) per gram
▶ most widely used adsorbent
▶ hydrophilic
▶ pore diameter: 10 to 75 Å

[Wikipedia, Active_A12O3.jpg]
Adsorbents

Activated carbon

- partially oxidized coconut shells, nuts, wood, peat, bones, sewage sludge
- difference hardnesses of adsorbent
- 400 to 1200 m² per gram
- hydrophobic
- pore diameter: 10 to over 50˚Å

E.g. bone char: decolourizing syrups
Adsorbents

Zeolite lattices

Some examples

$K_{12}[(AlO_2)_{12}(SiO_2)_{12}]$:
drying gases [2.9Å]

$Na_{12}[(AlO_2)_{12}(SiO_2)_{12}]$:
CO₂ removal [3.8Å]

$Ca_{43}[(AlO_2)_{86}(SiO_2)_{106}]$:
air separation [8Å]

Very specific pore diameters.

- 40 naturally occurring
- $\sim 150$ synthesized
- $\sim 650$ m² per gram
Adsorbents
Zeolites; also called molecular sieves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window size</th>
<th>Adsorbs…</th>
<th>Dehydrates…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3Å</td>
<td>H₂O, NH₃</td>
<td>unsaturated hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Å</td>
<td>H₂S, CO₂, C₃H₆</td>
<td>saturated hydrocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Å</td>
<td>n-paraffins from iso-paraffins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8Å</td>
<td>iso-paraffins and olefins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Johnston]

Electrostatic fields exist inside the zeolite cage: strong interactions with polar molecules. Sieving not only based on shape/size exclusion.

[Rousseau, “Handbook of Separation Technology”]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Market size (1983)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon</td>
<td>$380 million ← 25% for water treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular-sieve zeolites</td>
<td>$100 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica gel</td>
<td>$27 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated alumina</td>
<td>$26 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pore diameter characterization

Determined using He and Hg porosimetry (see reference for details)

[Seader, 3ed, p574]
Example: Gold leaching and adsorption

- Crushed rock has gold particles exposed
- Leaching:
  \[ 4\text{Au}_\text{(s)} + 8\text{NaCN} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Na[Au(CN)}_2] + 4\text{NaOH} \]
- Adsorption: aurocyanide complex, \( \text{Au(CN)}_2^- \), is adsorbed onto activated carbon
  - drives the equilibrium in the leaching step forward
  - separates the solid gold, \( \text{Au}_\text{(s)} \), from the pulp (slurry)
  - obtain \( C_{A,S} \sim 8000 \) grams of Au per tonne of carbon
- Desorption:
  - separate the highly concentrated gold-carbon pulp (screens/filter/cyclones/sedimentation)
  - desorb the gold off the carbon with caustic contact
  - recycle the regenerated carbon
Gold leaching: Johannesburg, RSA

H$_2$S and CO$_2$ pre-treatment adsorbers

[Flickr: http://www.flickr.com/photos/vmeprocess/4565083761]
When to consider adsorption

Distillation, membranes, absorption, liquid-liquid extraction are sometimes viable alternatives.

But adsorption is considered when:
▶ relative volatility between components is $< 1.5$ (e.g. isomers)
▶ large reflux ratios would be required
▶ too large area for a membrane
▶ excessive temperatures or high pressure drops are to be avoided
▶ high selectivity is required
▶ feed is a very dilute stream of solute (adsorbate)

But, some disadvantages:
▶ only the surface of the adsorbent used
▶ regeneration of MSA adsorbent required
▶ MSA will break down mechanically over time as we move it around
▶ we must pump it, filter it, and/or put it through cyclones to process it
Physical principles

Adsorption releases heat, it’s exothermic. Why?

Loss of degrees of freedom of fluid: free energy is reduced, so $\Delta S \downarrow$

$$
\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \implies \Delta H = \Delta G + T \Delta S \implies \Delta H < 0
$$

Two types of adsorption:

1. Physical adsorption (physisorption):
   - Low heat of adsorption released: $\Delta H_{\text{ads}} \sim 30$ to $60 \text{ kJ/mol}$
   - Theory: van der Waals attractions
   - easily reversible

2. Chemical adsorption (chemisorption):
   - High heat of adsorption released: $\Delta H_{\text{ads}} > 100 \text{ kJ/mol}$
   - chemical bond formation, in the order of chemical bond strengths
   - leads to reaction products
   - more energy intensive to reverse
   - e.g.: catalysis, corrosion

As adsorbate concentration increases:
   - single layers form, then multiple layers, then condensation
Packed beds: adsorption and desorption steps

Regeneration reverses the adsorbate-adsorbent equilibrium:

1. raise the temperature to shift the equilibrium constant
2. lower the pressure (vapour-phase adsorbate)
3. displace the adsorbate with an alternative (e.g. steam)

Regenerate is shorter duration when done in the reverse direction to loading.

[Richardson and Harker, p 1028]
Fluidized beds: for continual operation

Materials of construction are important: carbon on carbon steel has a galvanic effect: leads to corrosion. Use stainless steel, or a lined vessel.

Cyclones used to recover adsorbent.

- Adsorbent life: \( \sim 100 \) cycles
- Bleed off old adsorbent and continuously replenish

[Uhlmanns, p556]
(Fluidized bed?) example

Adsorption, Desorption and Recovery (ADR) plant in Burkina Faso

[Flickr #5043854546] *Zoom in on the high resolution photo to see details.*
Modelling the adsorption process

1. Diffusion
   - diffusion of the adsorbate in the bulk fluid (usually very fast)
   - diffusion of the adsorbate to the adsorbent surface through the boundary layer
   - diffusion of the adsorbate into the pore to an open site
     - steric (shape) effects may be an issue

2. Equilibrium considerations
   - adsorbate will attach to a vacant site
   - adsorbate will detach from an occupied site

Mechanisms during adsorption
   - equilibrium interaction: solid-fluid interactions
   - kinetic: differences in diffusion rates (if multiple adsorbates)
   - steric: pore structure hinders/retains molecules of a certain shape
Equilibrium modelling

Why?

We ultimately would like to determine **how much adsorbent is required** to remove a given amount of adsorbate (e.g. impurity); particularly in batch processes.

For now, assume we are only limited by equilibrium [we’ll get there, we don’t mind *how long* (due to kinetics of diffusion and mass transfer resistance) it takes to get there]

- Derive/Postulate a model relating bulk concentration to surface concentration of adsorbate
- We call these equilibrium equations: “isotherms”
- **Isotherm**: relates amount of adsorbate on adsorbent \( (C_{A,S}) \) at different concentrations of adsorbate in the bulk \( (C_A) \), but at a fixed temperature.
Equilibrium modelling: linear model

Linear isotherm (Henry’s law)

\[ C_{A,S} = KC_A \]
\[ C_{A,S} = \frac{KP_A}{RT} = K'P_A \]

- \( C_{A,S} \) = concentration of adsorbate A on adsorbent surface \( \text{[kg adsorbate/kg adsorbent]} \)
- \( C_A \) = concentration of adsorbate A in the bulk fluid phase \( \text{[kg adsorbate/m}^3 \text{ fluid]} \)
- \( P_A \) = partial pressure of adsorbate A in the bulk fluid phase \( \text{[atm]} \)
- \( K \) and \( K' \) are temperature dependent equilibrium constants (should be clear why)
- \( R \) is the ideal gas constant
- \( T \) is the system temperature

- Few systems are this simple!
You are to design a batch adsorber to remove an organic contaminant (A) from 400L of aqueous solution containing 0.05g/L of the contaminant. To facilitate this you do a bench scale experiment with 1L solution at the same concentration (0.05g/L) and 3g of an adsorbent. In the bench scale experiment you find that 96% of the contaminant was removed. You need to remove 99% of the contaminant in the full scale apparatus. You can assume that a linear isotherm applies.

For the full scale system:

1. At the end of the batch, what will be the concentration of the solution in the adsorber and concentration of A on the adsorbent?

2. How much adsorbent do you need? [Ans: 4.95 kg]
Equilibrium modelling: Freundlich model

Freundlich isotherm

\[ C_{A,S} = K \left( C_A \right)^{1/m} \quad \text{for } 1 < m < 5 \]

- It is an empirical model, but it works well
- Constants determined from a log-log plot
- How would you go about setting up a lab experiment to collect data to calculate \( K \)?
- Which way will the isotherm shift if temperature is increased?
Equilibrium modelling: Langmuir isotherm

- we have a uniform adsorbent surface available (all sites equally attractive)
- there are a total number of sites available for adsorbate A to adsorb to
  - \( C_T \) = total sites available
  - \( C_V \) = vacant sites available
- rate of adsorption = \( k_A P_A C_V \) = proportional to number of collisions of A with site S
  - \( C_{A,S} \) = sites occupied by A
- assuming 1 site per molecule of A, and only a monolayer forms
- rate of desorption = \( k_A C_{A,S} \) = proportional to number of occupied sites
- net rate = \( k_A P_A C_V - k_A C_{A,S} \)
Equilibrium modelling: Langmuir isotherm

- Net rate \( = k_A P_A C_V - k_{-A} C_{A,S} \)
- define \( K_A = \frac{k_A}{k_{-A}} \)
- essentially an equilibrium constant: \( A + S \rightleftharpoons A \cdot S \)
- at equilibrium, the net rate is zero
- implying \( \frac{k_A C_{A,S}}{K_A} = k_A P_A C_V \)
- but total sites = \( C_T = C_V + C_{A,S} \)
- so \( \frac{k_A C_{A,S}}{K_A} = k_A P_A \left( C_T - C_{A,S} \right) \)
- simplifying: \( C_{A,S} = K_A P_A \left( C_T - C_{A,S} \right) \)

\[
C_{A,S} = \frac{K_A C_T P_A}{1 + K_A P_A} = \frac{K_1 P_A}{1 + K_2 P_A} = \frac{K_3 C_A}{1 + K_4 C_A}
\]

- Fit data using Eadie-Hofstee diagram or nonlinear regression
- Same structure as Michaelis-Menten model (bio people)
Summary of isotherms

We aren’t always sure which isotherm fits a given adsorbate-adsorbent pair:

1. Perform a laboratory experiment to collect the data
2. Postulate a model (e.g. linear, or Langmuir)
3. Fit the model to the data
4. Good fit?

Other isotherms have been proposed:

▶ BET (Brunauer, Emmett and Teller) isotherm
▶ Gibb’s isotherm: allows for a multilayer of adsorbate forming

These are far more flexible models (more parameters); e.g. Langmuir isotherm is a special case of the BET isotherm.
Further questions to try

Adapted from Geankoplis question 12.2-1

2.5 $m^3$ of wastewater solution with 0.25 kg phenol/$m^3$ is mixed with 3.0 kg granular activated carbon until equilibrium is reached. Use the following isotherm, determined from lab values, to calculate the final equilibrium values of phenol extracted and percent recovery. Show the operating point on the isotherm. Units of $C_A$ are [kg per $m^3$] and $C_{A,S}$ is in [kg solute per kg of activated carbon].

[Ans: $C_A \approx 0.10$ kg per $m^3$, $C_{A,S} \approx 0.12$ kg/kg, recovery = 58%]

Experimental isotherm data

$$C_{A,S} = \frac{0.145C_A}{0.0174 + C_A}$$
Isotherms change at different temperatures

\[ P_A = C_A RT \]

[Seader, 3ed, p610]
Understanding adsorption in packed beds (1 of 2)

$L =$ length; $\theta =$ time; $\theta_0 =$ start-up time on a regenerated bed
Understanding adsorption in packed beds (2 of 2)

- $C_{A,S}$ = concentration of adsorbate on adsorbent
- $C_{A,S}^e$ = concentration at equilibrium on the adsorbent (equil loading)
- $C_{A,S}^0$ = concentration on the regenerated adsorbent at time 0
- $\theta_b$ = breakthrough time: "time to stop using the packed bed!"; usually when $C_A = 0.05C_{A,F}$
- $\theta_e$ = the bed at equilibrium time; packed bed is completely used
- $C_{A,S}$ values are not easy measured; outlet concentration $C_A$ is easy
MTZ: mass transfer zone is where adsorption takes place.

It is S-shaped: indicates there is mass-transfer resistance and axial dispersion and mixing. Contrast to the ideal shape: is a perfectly vertical line moving through the bed.

Equilibrium zone: this is where the isotherm applies!

Breakthrough: arbitrarily defined as time when either (a) the lower limit of adsorbate detection, or (b) the maximum allowable adsorbate in effluent leaves the bed. Usually around 1 to 5% of $C_{A,F}$. 

[Image of bed concentration just prior to breakthrough]

[Note: Ghosh (adapted), p144]
Figures to help with the next example

[Seader, Henley, Roper, p 605]
Terminology

- **LES** = length of equilibrium section (increases as bed is used)
- **LUB** = length of unused bed (decreases as bed is used up)
- **$L = \text{total bed length} = \text{LES} + \text{LUB}$
- No data available: use MTZ distance of 4ft
Example (and some new theory applied)

An adsorbate in vapour is adsorbed in an experimental packed bed. The inlet contains $C_{A,F} = 600$ ppm of adsorbate. Data measuring the outlet concentration over time from the bed are plotted below:

[Geankoplis, 4ed, p 768]
Example

1. Determine the breakthrough time, $\theta_b$. [Ans: 3.65 hours]

2. What would be the usable capacity of the bed at time $\theta_b$ if we had an ideal wavefront (no mass transfer resistance)? [Ans: the fractional area of $A_1 = 3.65 / 6.9 = 53\%$]
   - Note: plot area units = “total time”, since “height” of y-axis = 1.0
   - Note: (area up to $\theta_b$) $\approx \theta_b$ when using a normalized y-axis

3. How long does it take to reach this ideal capacity? $\approx 3.65$ hours

Ignore the tiny part missing from the integrated area.
Example

4. What actual fraction of the bed’s capacity is used at \( \theta_b \)?

- The actual capacity used is the total shaded area = \( A_1 + A_2 \)
- This is called the stoichiometric capacity of the bed
- Ideally, if there were no mass transfer resistance (i.e. spread in the breakthrough curve), then the
  - stoichiometric time, \( \theta_S \), is defined as time taken for this actual capacity to be used
  - \( \theta_S \) is the point that breaks the MTZ into equal areas: in this case, \( A_2 \) vs the unshaded area in previous diagram
- \( \theta_S = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{C_A}{C_{A,F}} \right) dt = \text{shaded area} = A_1 + A_2 = 3.65 + 1.55 = 5.20 \text{ hrs} \)
- So actual bed fraction used at \( \theta_b \) is \( \frac{5.2}{6.9} = 0.75 \sim 75\% \)
5. If the lab-scale bed was originally 14cm long, what equivalent “length” is unused at time $\theta_b$?

- intuitively: $(1 - 0.75) \times 14 \text{ cm} = 3.5 \text{ cm}$
- LUB = length of unused bed = 3.5 cm
- LES = length of equilibrium section = the used up part = $14.0 - 3.5 = 10.5 \text{ cm}$
6. If we wanted a break-point time of $\theta_b = 7.5$ hours instead, how much longer should the bed be (keeping the diameter and flow profile fixed)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Desired</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LES</td>
<td>$0.75 \times 14\text{ cm} = 10.5\text{ cm}$</td>
<td>$21.6\text{ cm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUB</td>
<td>$0.25 \times 14\text{ cm} = 3.5\text{ cm}$</td>
<td>$3.5\text{ cm}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$14\text{ cm}$</td>
<td>$25.1\text{ cm}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Ratio LES lengths to breakthrough times: $\frac{\text{LES}^{\text{des}}}{\text{LES}^{\text{curr}}} = \frac{\theta_b^{\text{des}}}{\theta_b^{\text{curr}}}$
- Length to get to breakthrough in 7.5 hours = $\text{LES}^{\text{des}} = 21.6\text{ cm}$
- We have to add on the length of the unused bed = $3.5\text{ cm}$ from before (same diameter, same flow profile!)
- So new bed length = $\text{LES} + \text{LUB} = 21.6 + 3.5 = 25.1\text{ cm}$
- LUB is the same length, provided all other conditions are the same
- Then fraction actually used = $\frac{21.6}{24.5} = 0.88$ (compared to 0.75)
Bed mass balance

Amount of material loaded into the bed up to $\theta_b$ in LES

$$Q_F \ C_{A,F} \ \theta_b = C_{A,S}^e \ \rho_B \ A \ L_{LES}$$

- $Q_F$: Feed flow rate \( \left[ m^3 \ \text{second} \right] \)
- $C_{A,F}$: Inlet concentration \( \left[ \frac{kg \ \text{solute}}{m^3 \ \text{fluid}} \right] \)
- $\theta_b$: Breakthrough time \( \left[ \text{second} \right] \)
- $C_{A,S}^e$: Eqbm adsorbed solute conc\(^n\) \( \left[ \frac{kg \ \text{solute}}{kg \ \text{adsorbent}} \right] \)
- $\rho_B$: Adsorbent’s bulk density \( \left[ \frac{kg \ \text{adsorbent charged}}{m^3 \ \text{of occupied space}} \right] \)
- $A L_{LES}$: Bed volume = area $\times$ LES length \( \left[ m^3 \ \text{of occupied space} \right] \)

Add on LUB; determine volume adsorbent required = $A (L_{LES} + L_{LUB})$.
Take porosity into account when calculating mass of adsorbent from the occupied volume.
Modified from a previous exam

Trimethylethylene (TME) is being removed from an aqueous chemical plant waste stream on a *continuous basis* (this is not a batch system). A bench scale system indicates that the adsorbent follows a Langmuir adsorption isotherm as:

\[ C_{A,S} = \frac{0.05C_A}{32.1 + C_A} \]

where \( C_{A,S} \) has units of [grams/grams], and the constant has units of 32.1 ppm. In a tank we have an inlet flow of TME solution at 10L/min with density of 1000 kg.m\(^{-3}\). The TME enters at 100 ppm (parts per million, mass solute per 10\(^6\) mass solution) in the feed. The impurity is not detectable below 1 ppm concentrations. The tank contains 15 kg of initially fresh adsorbent which is retained in the tank. We wish to know:

1. How much TME is adsorbed when the breakthrough concentration reaches 1 ppm? [Ans: 22.66 g]
2. How long it will take to reach this detectable outlet concentration? [22.6 minutes]
Regenerating the bed

Aim
To remove adsorbate from the packed bed.

1: Temperature swing adsorption (TSA)
   ▶ heat the bed: usually steam is used (due to high latent heat)
     ▶ why add heat? (recall, heat is released during adsorption)
   ▶ creates a thermal wave through the packed bed
   ▶ isotherm at higher temperature is shifted down
   ▶ causes the adsorbate to be diluted in the stripping fluid
   ▶ often leave some residual adsorbate behind, since time to completely strip adsorbent of it would be excessive
   ▶ care must be taken with flammable adsorbates:
     ▶ stripping temperatures are high
     ▶ often near flammable limits
     ▶ carbon beds have been known to catch fire

See illustration on next page to understand TSA
Regenerating the bed

2. Pressure swing adsorption (PSA)
   ▶ used when the “product” is the cleaned (stripped) fluid
   ▶ add feed with adsorbate at high pressure (loads the adsorbate)
   ▶ drop the pressure and the adsorbate starts to desorb
   ▶ run two beds in parallel (one desorbing, the other adsorbing)
   ▶ widely used for portable oxygen generation, H$_2$S capture in refineries

[Seader, 3ed, p610]
Rotary devices: to avoid a separate regeneration

[Richardson and Harker, p 1034]
Adsorption equipment: Sorbex column

Bed remains stationary (minimizes adsorbent damage); fluid phase is pumped around. Simulates a counter-current movement of solid to liquid.

[Seader, Henley, Roper, p 611]

A) Pump; B) Adsorbent chamber; C) Rotary valve; D) Extract column; E) Raffinate column